

May 8, 1973

that you defeat this kill motion and let the bill pass. It is needed. It can easily be justified and even my enemy, the World Herald, endorses it though they call me a scoundrel in so many words for introducing it.

PRESIDENT: Senator Carpenter. Motion is to indefinitely postpone LB 315.

SENATOR CARPENTER: Can the clerk...it is a short bill, isn't it?

CLERK: Yes.

SENATOR CARPENTER: Can you read the bill as it now is?

CLERK: OK. The original section I is as follows: Read. LB 315.

SENATOR CARPENTER: Now, Mr. President, as I understood the discussion yesterday, all of these problems have been corrected by the amendments which says in part that no policeman can have a liquor license but it doesn't prevent him from belonging to the Elks Club or a similar nature of that kind. This is the original intent of the bill and I don't see why we shouldn't pass the bill in its present form. It does exactly what Senator Chambers said he wanted to be done. It says a policeman shouldn't have a liquor license, and having some experience in this business, he is the last fellow in the world ought to have a liquor license. Now he should be able to belong to those people, for example, like the Elks Club and those things. Sure, that's permissive. That's only right, but I would hope you would vote down the motion to kill the bill.

PRESIDENT: Any further discussion of the motion to indefinitely postpone? Senator Syas.

SENATOR SYAS: Well, I am not going to vote to kill the bill but I would like to know now..the question is..and I still think we have to fix it up and I think was willing to. If a person owns some stock in an airplane company or a railroad or a bus line or something like that, well, buses don't do it, well they do have it in, I guess, in some of their way stations, but does he have to turn his stock in. I mean..he's got an insurance, if he has got an insurance policy and they have got money in a company that might sell liquor someplace, does he have to give up his insurance policy? I mean..what is...where are we now on the bill? I don't want to kill it but I think it is very unfair to ask anybody to have to turn in an insurance policy because the insurance company might invest in a railroad, for instance, that sells liquor someplace. Could someone answer that? How about you, Senator Luedtke?

PRESIDENT: Senator Carpenter, do you care to respond?

SENATOR CARPENTER: There is nothing in the bill, Senator, which prohibits you or anyone else or a policeman or a similar person holding stock in any corporation.

SENATOR SYAS: Well, I understood there was.

SENATOR CARPENTER: Well, you was misunderstood wrong in the sense you are talking about it. Insofar as having an interest in a license, that's different.

SENATOR SYAS: Yes, I understand that.

SENATOR CARPENTER: And sofar as having a stock in United Airlines or any of the other airlines which has the...it would not be affective at all.